

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/635,636	Oouchi	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Rafael Perez-Gutierrez	2686	

**All Participants:**

(1) Rafael Perez-Gutierrez.

(2) Diallo T. Crenshaw.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph

Claims discussed:

1-10

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Crenshaw to advised him that the 112 1st paragraph rejection of claims 1-4, 6, 9, and 10 will be maintained but that claims 5, 7, and 8 were allowable. The Examiner suggested the cancelation of claims 1-4, 6, 9, and 10 in order to allow the application and Mr. Crenshaw agreed with this suggestion. Additionally, minor informalities on claim 8 were corrected in the Examiner's amendment.